EXHIBIT D

ORIGINAL

· 1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	In Re: 05-44481 (RDD)
4	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al., One Bowling Green New York, New York
5	Debtors. April 30, 2008
6	•
7	TRANSCRIPT OF MOTIONS BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
9	APPEARANCES:
10	For the Debtors: JOHN WM. BUTLER, JR., ESQ.
11	KAYALYN A. MARAFIOTI, ESQ. THOMAS J. MATZ, ESQ.
12	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP
13	Four Times Square New York, New York 10036
14	For Creditors Com.: ROBERT J. ROSENBERG, ESO.
15	MICHAEL RIELA, ESQ. Latham & Watkins
16	885 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022
17	For Equity Com.: BONNIE STEINGART, ESQ.
1.8	Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson, LLP
19	One New York Plaza New York, New York 10004
20	For ADAH: THOMAS E. LAURIA, ESQ.
21	White & Case, LLP 200 South Biscayne Boulevard
22	Miami, Florida 33131 (Appearances continued on next page)
23	REGENCY REPORTING, INC.
24	Certified Court Reporters & Videographers 425 Eagle Rock Avenue 575 Madison Avenue
25	Roseland, NJ 07068 New York, NY 10022 www.regencyreporting.net 1-866-268-7866
ļ	

revised blacklined order and I'll grant the motion. It appears to me the debtors have been acting in good faith. They obviously still have remaining issues in respect of the plan and/or a modification thereof and, consequently, this extension which, again, is consensual on this basis is warranted. So I'll enter that order.

MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

• 1

Your Honor, you also touched briefly on the 4(m) motion. That's actually the next one, Item 5. This is the post-confirmation extension of avoidance action service deadlines motion at docket No. 13361 and, Your Honor, this deals with the debtor's seeking an entry of an order extending the deadline to serve process pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7004(a) and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 4(m) that's made applicable by Bankruptcy Rule 7004(a) for avoidance actions filed in connection with the preservation of estate claims procedures order earlier entered by this Court at docket No. 12471.

We did give some specific notice in connection with this, Your Honor. We gave notice of the motion to Lenico Engineering Company, Wachovia Bank National Association and the master service list and the 2002 list. The reason that we gave specific notice to Lenico and Wachovia was because those were the only two parties that had been identified under Exhibit 7.24 of the plan as having the avoidance actions preserved under the plan and, therefore, we gave particularized notice to them of the relief

. 1

sought by the debtors. We have not given notice to the 742 other defendants therein which are under seal and it was not served on those defendants except to the extent those defendants already had placed themselves on either the master service list or the 2002 list.

There were no objections to the motion. At the moment, Your Honor, prior to Your Honor's order, we believe that it's appropriate to get a further extension. Right now, the extension is through May 31, 2008, that's Your Honor's — a prior order entered on March 28th at docket No. 13277 and, again, the process that we're looking for here is essentially the same formulation we did in the 365(d)(4) motion and I presume with a similar modification from Your Honor, the idea here is to not have to deal with these complaints so long as we have the plan process that we're moving forward with.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, first, I continue to believe that there is good cause for the relief sought here. Except for the notice you did give I don't think any further notice is necessary under the plain terms of 9006 and the cause is obviously that the analysis so far that's represented in the motion is the same as it was when the motion was originally granted which is that these causes of action are being preserved in light of the limitations period, however, it's not presently contemplated that they will be pursued, although obviously the preservation of them means that they may be pursued but given that there's no reason for

- 1

б

either the debtors or the potential defendants to start to have to incur any costs in connection with the litigation so cause is shown under Rule 4(m) and 9006.

The issue that I addressed earlier on the lease extension motion is slightly different here, I think, because it's conceivable, I guess, that a plan that I would permit someone else to submit might have a different position on avoidance actions and at which point they should be pursued. So the way I phrased it here and you're all free to chime in on this if it doesn't sound right to you is that the deadline or the extension would be until thirty days after the later of substantial consummation of the plan or any modified Chapter 11 plan and December 31, 2008. That seems to me — that was the date you had in for another matter, it will come up later and it seems far enough off so that that would be sufficient.

MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So I'll grant it on that basis.

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the next item on the agenda, Item No. 6, is the debtor's second DIP extension motion filed at docket No. 13409.

Your Honor, in this motion the debtors have sought authority to supplement the January 5, 2007 DIP order entered at docket No. 6461, the November 16, 2007 DIP extension order at docket No. 10854 and to authorize the debtors to extend the maturity date of the DIP facility to enter into related documents